There is a high fall rate in individuals with upper limb absence (ULA) [1]. This may be related to the physical imbalance resulting from the loss of part of one arm. In an individual without ULA, the angular (rotational) momenta of the parts of the body cancel out during walking such that whole-body angular momentum \( (L) \) remains close to zero, promoting stability. This balance will be affected by unilateral loss of mass due to ULA, increasing \( L \), which may increase fall risk.

Individuals with ULA swing their sound arm more [2], which may compound this problem (Figure 1).

AIM: To characterize the whole body angular momentum, \( L \), of persons with ULA walking with and without a mock prosthesis designed to mimic the length, mass and inertial properties of the sound arm.

Hypothesis 1: Unilateral ULA leads to asymmetries in \( L \).
Hypothesis 2: Asymmetries will persist or worsen when a prosthesis is worn, as arm swing remains asymmetrical [2]

Methods

Fig. 2. Mock prosthesis. Mass and inertial properties matched to sound side [2].

- Kinematic data from a full-body marker set were collected at 120 Hz using a digital motion capture system (Motion Analysis Corp, CA).
- Subjects walked at a self-selected pace wearing 1) no prosthesis, and 2) a mock prosthesis (Figure 2); order randomized.
- For each condition, \( L \) was computed for ten strides in the sagittal, coronal and transverse planes (Figure 3).
- Values were normalized to height, body mass and walking speed.
- \( L \) was computed in the first 50% of the gait cycle \( (L_{50}) \), and over the whole gait cycle \( (L_{100}) \) for the affected side.
- Two-way repeated measures ANOVAs were used to assess the effects of side and prosthesis condition on \( L_{50} \).
- Paired t-tests were used to determine the effect of prosthesis condition on \( L_{50} \) (\( \alpha=0.05 \)).

Results

Fig. 4. Whole-body angular momentum range over the first 50% gait cycle, \( L_{50} \).

- Results suggest that the loss of mass on the affected side may be compensated for by an increase in the velocity of the sound arm, which restores a balance about the vertical axis.
- However, there is a higher \( L \) range on the sound side in the sagittal plane in comparison to the impaired side.
- Use of a prosthesis reduces coronal plane \( L \), potentially enhancing medial-lateral stability.
- Use of a prosthesis does not reduce the sagittal plane imbalance.

Fig. 5. Whole-body angular momentum range over the whole gait cycle, \( L_{100} \).

- \( L \) range was significantly higher during the sound side step in the sagittal plane (Figure 4).
- \( L \) range was significantly higher lower in the coronal plane when wearing the mock prosthesis (Figure 5).

Conclusions

- There may be a greater risk of loss of balance in people with ULA following a perturbation such as a trip, particularly when it occurs during the stance phase of the sound side during which \( L \) is elevated.
- Individuals with ULA may experience greater risk of falls due to a unique vulnerability to perturbations related to an angular momentum imbalance.
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